

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,370	02/01/2001	Tammy Zheng	PHA51108A	8675	
7:	11/06/2002				
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION 280 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			EXAMINER		
			ANDUJAR, LEONARDO		
TARRYTOWN	I, NY 10591		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/775,370	ZHENG ET AL.				
y	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonardo Andújar	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The prepared emondment(a) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	royed by the Exami	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	THE STATE OF THE S				
10. Other:	SUPERVISO	THAN I FLYNN DRY PATENT EXAMIN DLOGY CENTER 2800	IER)			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 10/28/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument did not overcome the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 (first and second paragraph). Also, claims 27-37 do not distinguish over the prior made of record as the reasons set forth in the final rejection, paper. 9.